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HUMOR in ADVERTISING
History of Humor in Advertising

We find advertisements engraved on walls and tombs, written on parchment and papyrus, and printed by the first printing presses. The eruption of Vesuvius preserved Pompeian advertising. Babylonian barkers shouted the availability of wares, and, as a precursor to the media explosion that was to come, in France twelve town criers organized a company in 1141 (Presbrey 1929). Advertising extends back to the very beginnings of formalized trade.

Although advertising is an ancient form of communications, early ads tended to be very rudimentary. Most innovations in advertising are relatively recent. Posters, painted signs, transit placards, booklets, calendars, almanacs, handbills, and magazine and newspaper advertising have now become so well established that we look upon them as a part of the landscape. Or perhaps they are so common that we fail to notice them at all. Advertising has become so omnipresent that it is surprising to learn that most forms of advertising are relatively modern innovations.

It has been reported that the first ad in English was a printed notice tacked to church doors in 1477 announcing prayer books for sale (Goodrum and Dalrymple 1990). Although qualifying as an ad, this simple posted bulletin was hardly mass communication. The first evidence of advertising in a mass communication, albeit with very limited circulation, has been attributed to a German news pamphlet of 1525 (Presbrey 1929). This “ad” exhorted the reader to purchase a book written by a Dr. Laster. However, it is not known whether this promotion was a paid endorsement. Thus it cannot, with certainty, be determined whether this was an advertisement or an early example of publicity.

The importance of advertising is evidenced by the fact that the first regularly published periodical was an advertising vehicle. This was a regularly
published list of want ads first produced in Paris in 1612 (Presbrey 1929). The first definitive instance of mass media advertising in English was an ad printed in the Weekly Newes on February 1, 1625 (Presbrey 1929). Advertising caught on quickly in England, so much so that by 1652 readers were complaining about the quantity and character of advertising in “newsbooks” (Presbrey 1929). It was in the mid- to late 1600s that advertising as a distinct phenomenon began to emerge. Although the word “advertisement,” meaning a warning or a notice, was in common use by the time of Shakespeare, it was in 1655 that the term gained its modern meaning and replaced “advices” (Presbrey 1929). Although the term had gained its modern meaning, advertising did not yet resemble modern advertising.

As indicated in the following examples from 1692, early print ads were typically blind, with the publisher serving as a broker.

I have met with a curious gardener that will furnish anybody that sends to me for fruit trees, and floreal shrubs, and garden seeds. I have made him promise with all solemnity that whatever he sends shall be purely good, and I verily believe he may be depended on.

If anyone wants a wet nurse, I can help them, as I am informed, to a very good one.

I know a peruke [wig] maker that pretends to make perukes extraordinary fashionable and will sell good pennyworths; I can direct to him.

(Presbrey 1929, 58)

Blind advertising began to fade away as it became clear that direct advertising was more effective. Correspondingly, advertisers began to take a greater role in the creation of print advertising. By the late 1700s some print advertisers in England had begun to inject creativity into the medium. One of the pioneers of this effort was George Packwood. Packwood sold razor strops, and, more profitably, a paste to be used to condition the strop. He advertised heavily, and while most of his contemporaries were using simple announcements or making exaggerated claims, Packwood was entertaining his audience. Packwood’s ads were characterized by the use of “riddles, proverbs, fables, slogans, jokes, jingles, anecdotes, facts, aphorisms, puns, poems, songs, nursery rhymes, parodies, pastiches, stories, dialogs, definitions, conundrums, letters and metaphors” (McKendrick, Brewer, and Plumb 1982, 153). A Packwood ad from 1796 reads:
Why is a dull razor like a famished man?
Because he wants whet.
Why is Packwood’s Paste unlike the stocks?
Because it never falls, but always rises in the public opinion.
Why is Packwood’s Strop unlike the present lottery?
Because every purchaser draws a prize.
Why is a person that has been shaved with a blunt-edged razor like another
on the brink of marriage?
Because each wishes the business over.
And why is the inventor himself like a clergyman?
Because he is never out of orders.

(McKendrick, Brewer, and Plumb 1982, 171)

Packwood’s print campaign lasted less than two years, from October 1794
until July 1796. However, in 1800 he published a book, available for one
shilling, that contained reproductions of his ads, and, remarkably, stories of
adventure featuring the razor strop (McKendrick, Brewer, and Plumb 1982;
Presbrey 1929).

Although Packwood was a pioneer in the use of humor in print, his ads
employed the all-text format of the era. The first periodical ad featuring a
humorous illustration is attributed to Warren’s Shoe Blacking in 1820
(Presbrey 1929). Indeed, this ad was not only pioneering in the use of
humor, it is considered a milestone of print advertising since it contained
the first “idea” illustration, as contrasted with a simple product illustra-
tion, to appear in periodical advertising (Presbrey 1929). The ad featured
a cartoon of a cat hissing at its reflection on a shiny boot. Humorous verses
appeared below the illustration. The ad proved quite successful. As Presbrey
(1929) states, “this advertising, because it was a novelty, made Warren’s
Shoe Blacking known throughout the Kingdom and produced a heavy sale
of it” (85).

Early British advertising was a precursor to early American advertising.
The colonists brought British notions of advertising with them to the New
World, and they brought humor with them as well. Although colonists are
often thought of as dour and humorless, evidence of humor is found in some
of the earliest English publications in the colonies (Kenney 1976).

Magazines and newspapers appeared in the colonies prior to the founding
of the United States. Although the earliest history of print advertising is
unclear, it has been reported that the first newspaper advertisements in the
United States appeared in May of 1704 in the Boston News Letter (Presbrey
1929). These first three ads were very basic, cumulatively occupying four
inches of one column. One ad was the offer of a reward for the capture of a
thief, one was the offer of a reward for the return of two stolen anvils, and the
third offered a piece of property for sale or rent (Presbrey 1929).

Smith (2003) reports that the origin of magazine advertising in the New
World has been alternatively traced to either 1719 or 1741. In the earlier
case, the first ad was purported to have appeared in a Philadelphia magazine,
the Weekly Mercury, in 1719 (Smith 2003). Others trace the roots of maga-
zines in America to Ben Franklin and Andrew Bradford, each of whom
launched magazines in 1741 (Smith 2003). The confusion stems from in-
complete records from this early period, in part due to the short life span of
many early publications. Most early magazines, including Franklin’s, lasted
less than six months (Russell and Lane 1996). Some confusion about this early
period may also be due to differing definitions of advertising. Some scholars
consider only paid advertising, while others have a broader interpretation of
the term and include self-promotion by the publisher as advertising.

The real growth in magazine publishing, and correspondingly magazine
advertising, in the United States, did not occur until more than a century later
when increasing literacy rates, improved printing technology, and railway
mail delivery—with second class postage rates for magazines—gave birth to
several magazines that still survive today. By the late 1800s Town & Coun-
try, Cosmopolitan, National Geographic, Atlantic Monthly, Harper’s and other
“modern” magazines had been founded. Yet the nature and volume of adver-
tising in these early magazines were very different from magazine advertis-
ing today.

The first advertisement appeared in Harper’s Magazine in 1864. In this
magazine more space has been devoted to advertising during the past year
than the sum total of space for the twenty-four years from 1864 to 1887,
inclusive. Indeed, advertising may be said to have been in its swaddling
clothes until about the year 1887. The most rapid development has taken
place during the last fifteen years. The change has been so great that the
leading advertisers say that in comparison with today there was in exist-
ence fifteen years ago no advertising worthy of the name. (Scott 1904, 29)

Although we can trace the roots of early advertising with some degree
of certainty, the origin of humor in advertising is less clear. Packwood’s